

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/849,507	05/19/2004		Lars N. Bjorn	606-50-PCT-CON	5957	
22145	7590	08/19/2005		EXAMINER		
KLEIN, O'I		SINGH		KARLSEN, ERNEST F		
SUITE 510	AZA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	A 92614	•		2829	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005	i :	
					1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		N'				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/849,507	BJORN, LARS N.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of thirty dividing the statutory minimum of thirty dividing and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communic  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status	•	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	May 2004						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		rs, prosecution as to the merit	ts is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	n.		•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	nts have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	nts have been received in Ap	plication No					
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure</li> </ol>	•	eceived in this National Stage	;				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date					
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to electric current measuring apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass 96.
- Claims 11-17, drawn to methods of measuring current,
   classified in class 324, subclass 96.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in materially different processes as disclosed and claimed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

If Invention II is elected further election of species is required as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- 1. The species to which claims 11-13 are drawn.
- 2. The species to which claims 14-17 are drawn.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/849,507 Page 4

Art Unit: 2829

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ernest F. Karlsen at telephone number 571-272-1961.

Ernest F. Karlsen

August 18, 2005

ERNEST KARLSEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER